

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,403		04/18/2001	Thomas J. Kennedy III	P-5907 SLD 2 0236	0236 7628	
24492	7590	06/25/2003				
THE TO	P-FLITE C	GOLF COMPANY	EXAMINER			
425 MEADOW STREET PO BOX 901				BUTTNER,	, DAVID J	
CHICOPE	CHICOPEE, MA 01021-090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1712	13	
			•	DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/837,403	KENNEDY ET AL.	/					
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	David Buttner	1712						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a second control of the contr	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		,						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the	the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extensions or in the final Office actions or in the sections.	e extension fee ension fee (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: eliminating "terephthalic acid" and adding "consisting essentially of" is new issue.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	·-	to issues which wer	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	o□ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
	Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	,						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 11.								
10. ☐ Other:								
		•						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The petition has not been decided. The examiner is not permitted to delay the office action.

"Isophthalic acid" is not "phthalic acid" according to applicant's own specification (page 6). The three compounds each have distinct structures. "Phthalic acid" is not generic to the others. The fact that some in the art may consider "phthalic acid" to encompass the others is of no consequence because applicant chose to clearly define the terms otherwise. Applicant is "stuck" with his specification's original definition. Oddly, if "phthalic acid" was generic to all three isomers, then the newly proposed claims would still be met by references utilizing polyamides of terephthalic acid.

Regarding claim 30, an "acrylate" is an unsaturated compound. A "polymer of an acrylate" no longer contains any unsaturation. The Specification adds polymers of acrylates - not acrylates.

Applicant argues the ionomers of Rajagopalan '862 do not qualify as applicant's ionomers. This is not convincing as applicant's claims have no constraints on the ionomer. Arguments regarding the terephthalic based polyamides of the other references would be relevant only if the proposed amdt had been entered...

Dand Rutter

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

2